To be published in Gazette of India, Extra ordinary, Part 1, Section1.

F.No.14/13/2014-DGAD Government of India Ministry of Commerce & Industry Department of Commerce Directorate General of Anti Dumping & Allied Duties 4th Floor, Jeevan Tara Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi

Dated: 15.10.2014

Initiation Notification

Subject: Initiation of anti-dumping duty investigation in respect of the imports of Phenol originating in or exported from European Union, Singapore and Korea RP –reg.

No.14/13/2014-DGAD: Whereas M/s Hindustan Organic Chemicals Ltd. and SI Group (India) Ltd. have filed a petition before the Designated Authority (hereinafter referred to as the Authority) in accordance with the Customs Tariff Act, 1975, as amended from time to time (hereinafter referred to as the Act) and Customs Tariff (Identification, Assessment and Collection of Anti-Dumping Duty on Dumped Articles and for Determination of Injury) Rules, 1995, as amended from time to time (hereinafter referred to as the AD Rules) for initiation of anti dumping duty investigation concerning imports of Phenol (hereinafter also referred to as the subject goods) originating in or exported from European Union, Singapore and Korea RP (hereinafter also referred to as the subject goods).

2. And whereas, the Authority finds that the petition filed by M/s Hindustan Organic Chemicals Ltd. and SI Group (India) Ltd. contains sufficient evidence of dumping of the subject goods originating in or exported from the subject countries; injury to the domestic industry; and the causal link between the alleged dumping and injury, to justify initiation of anti-dumping investigation, and the Authority hereby initiates anti dumping investigation into the alleged dumping of the subject goods from the subject countries, and consequent injury to the domestic industry in terms of Rule 5 of the AD Rules, to determine the existence, degree and effect of any alleged dumping and to recommend the amount of antidumping duty, which if levied, would be adequate to remove the injury to the domestic industry.

Domestic Industry & Standing

3. The petition has been filed by M/s Hindustan Organic Chemicals Ltd. and SI Group-India-Ltd. The petitioners have claimed that they are the only producers of the

subject goods in India and there is no other producer of the product under consideration in India. As per the evidence available on record, the Authority notes that the production of the petitioners accounts for the major proportion of the total domestic production of the like article. The Authority, therefore, determines that M/s Hindustan Organic Chemicals Ltd. and SI Group-India-Ltd. (hereinafter referred to as the domestic industry or the petitioners) constitute the domestic industry within the meaning of Rule 2 (b) and the petition satisfies the criteria of standing in terms of Rule 5 (3) of the Rules supra.

Product under consideration

4. The product under consideration for the purpose of present investigation is %Rhenol+originating in or exported from European Union, Singapore and Korea RP.

5. Phenol is a basic organic chemical with a chemical formula C6H5OH. The product is marketed in two forms . bulk and packed. Bulk sales are normally in loose form, whereas packed consignments can be of much smaller container loads and generally packed in drums. Phenol is used in Phenol Formaldehyde Resins, Laminates, Plywood, Particle Boards, Bisphenol-A, Alkyl Phenols, Pharmaceuticals, Diphenyl Oxide etc. Phenol is normally classified under Chapter 29 of the Customs Tariff Act under Customs Tariff heading no. 2907.1110 as per Indian Trade Classification.

6. The Customs and ITC HS classifications are, however, indicative only and in no way binding on the scope of the present investigation.

Like Article

7. The petitioners have claimed that the subject goods, which are being allegedly dumped into India, are identical to the goods produced by the domestic industry. Consumers can use and are using the two interchangeably. The Authority, for the purpose of the present investigation, has considered that the product produced by the domestic industry is comparable to the product imported from the subject countries in terms of essential product characteristics such as physical & technical characteristics, manufacturing process & technology, functions & uses, product specifications, pricing, distribution & marketing and tariff classification. The two are technically and commercially substitutable. The Authority treats the subject goods produced by the domestic industry as \pm ike Articleqto the subject goods being imported from the subject countries.

Subject Countries

8. The countries involved in the present investigation are European Union, Singapore and Korea RP.

Normal Value

9. The petitioners have submitted that efforts made were to get information/evidence of price of subject goods in the domestic market of subject countries but the same could not be obtained. The petitioners have, therefore, constructed normal value in the subject countries considering constructed value approach, based on international price of Benzene, (major raw material), power cost as per cost prevailing in the subject countries, other elements of cost as per the domestic producers.

Export Price

10. The petitioners have determined export price by using secondary source data to assess the volume and value of imports in India. Price adjustments have been made on account of Ocean Freight, marine insurance, commission, port expenses, inland freight expenses and bank charges.

Dumping Margin

11. The petitioners have provided sufficient prima facie evidence that the normal values of the subject goods in the subject countries are significantly higher than the net export prices, prima-facie, indicating that the subject goods originating in or exported from the subject countries are being dumped into India to justify initiation of anti dumping investigation.

Injury and Causal Link

12. The petitioners have furnished prima facie evidence regarding the injury having taken place as a result of the alleged dumping in the form of increased volume of dumped imports, price undercutting, price suppression and decline in profitability, return on capital employed etc. There is sufficient prima facie evidence of the injury being suffered by the petitioner caused by the dumped imports from the subject countries to justify initiation of an anti dumping investigation.

Period of Investigation

13. The period of investigation (POI) is the period from 01.04.2013 to 31.03.2014. However, for the purpose of analyzing injury, the data of previous three years, i.e., 2010-11, 2011-12, 2012-13 and the proposed period of investigation will also be considered.

Submission of information

14. The known exporters in the subject countries and their Governments through their Embassies in India, importers and users in India known to be concerned with the subject goods and the domestic industry are being informed separately to enable them to file all the relevant information in the form and manner prescribed within the time limit set out below. Any other interested party may also make its submissions relevant to the investigation in the form and manner prescribed within the time limit set out below. The information/submissions may be submitted to:

> The Designated Authority, Directorate General of Anti-Dumping & Allied Duties, Ministry of Commerce & Industry, Department of Commerce 4th Floor, Jeevan Tara Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi

15. Any other interested party may also make its submissions relevant to the investigation in the prescribed form and manner within the time limit set out below. Any party making any confidential submission before the Authority is required to make a non-confidential version of the same available to the other parties.

Time Limit

16. Any information relating to the present investigation should be sent in writing so as to reach the Authority at the address mentioned above not later than forty days (40 days) from the date of the communication. If no information is received within the prescribed time limit or the information received is incomplete, the Authority may record its findings on the basis of the facts available on record in accordance with the AD Rules.

17. All the interested parties are hereby advised to intimate their interest (including the nature of interest) in the instant matter and file their questionnaire responses and offer their comments to the domestic industry application within forty days (40 days) from the date of publication of this Notification. The information must be submitted in hard copies as well as soft copies.

Submission of information on confidential basis

18. The parties making any submission (including Appendices/Annexure attached thereto), before the authority including questionnaire response, are required to file the same in two separate sets, in case "confidentiality" is claimed on any part thereof:-

(a) one set marked as Confidential (with title, number of pages, index, etc.), and(b) the other set marked as Non-Confidential (with title, number of pages, index, etc.).

19. The ‰onfidential+ or ‰on-confidential+ submissions must be clearly marked as ‰onfidential+ or ‰on-confidential+ at the top of each page. Any submission made without such marking shall be treated as non-confidential by the Authority and the Authority shall be at liberty to allow the other interested parties to inspect such submissions. Soft copies of both the versions will also be required to be submitted, along with the hard copies, in five (5) sets of each.

20. The confidential version shall contain all information which are by nature confidential and/or other information which the supplier of such information claims as confidential. For information which are claimed to be confidential by nature or the information on which confidentiality is claimed because of other reasons, the supplier of the information is required to provide a good cause statement along with the supplied information as to why such information can not be disclosed.

21. The non-confidential version is required to be a replica of the confidential version with the confidential information preferably indexed or blanked out (in case indexation is not feasible) and summarized depending upon the information on which confidentiality is claimed. The non-confidential summary must be in sufficient detail to permit a reasonable understanding of the substance of the information furnished on confidential basis. However, in exceptional circumstances, party submitting the confidential information may indicate that such information is not susceptible to summary, and a statement of reasons why summarization is not possible, must be provided to the satisfaction of the Authority.

22. The Authority may accept or reject the request for confidentiality on examination of the nature of the information submitted. If the Authority is satisfied that the request for confidentiality is not warranted or if the supplier of the information is either unwilling to make the information public or to authorize its disclosure in generalized or summary form, it may disregard such information.

23. Any submission made without a meaningful non-confidential version thereof or without a good cause statement on the confidentiality claim shall not be taken on record by the Authority.

24. The Authority on being satisfied and accepting the need for confidentiality of the information provided, shall not disclose it to any party without specific authorization of the party providing such information.

Inspection of Public File

25. In terms of Rule 6(7) of the AD Rules, any interested party may inspect the public file containing non-confidential version of the evidence submitted by other interested parties.

Non-cooperation

26. In case where an interested party refuses access to, or otherwise does not provide necessary information within a reasonable period, or significantly impedes the investigation, the Authority may record its findings on the basis of the facts available to it and make such recommendations to the Central Government as deemed fit.

(J K Dadoo) Designated Authority